arXiv:1404.1796v1 [math.CA] 7 Apr 2014

RIESZ SEQUENCES AND ARITHMETIC PROGRESSIONS

ITAY LONDNER AND ALEXANDER OLEVSKIĬ

Abstract. Given a set $\mathcal{S}$ of positive measure on the circle and a set of integers Λ, one may consider the family of exponentials $E(\Lambda) := \left\{e^{i\lambda t}\right\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$ and ask whether it is a Riesz sequence in the space $L^2(\mathcal{S})$.

We focus on this question in connection with some arithmetic properties of the set of frequencies.

Improving a result of Bownik and Speegle ([3], Thm. 4.16), we construct a set $\mathcal{S}$ such that $E(\Lambda)$ is never a Riesz sequence if Λ contains arbitrary long arithmetic progressions of length N and step $\ell = O\left(N^{1-\varepsilon}\right)$. On the other hand, we prove that every set $\mathcal{S}$ admits a Riesz sequence $E(\Lambda)$ such that Λ does contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions of length N and step $\ell = O(N)$.

1. Introduction

We use below the following notation:

Λ - a set of integers.

$\mathcal{S}$ - a set of positive measure on the circle $\mathbb{T}$.

$|\mathcal{S}|$ - the Lebesgue measure of $\mathcal{S}$.

For $A, B \subset \mathbb{R}$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$ we let

$$A + B := \{\alpha + \beta \,|\, \alpha \in A\,,\, \beta \in B\}\ ,\ x \cdot A := \{x \cdot \alpha \,|\, \alpha \in A\}\ .$$

A sequence of elements in a Hilbert space $\{\varphi_i\}_{i\in I} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is called a *Riesz sequence* (RS) if there are positive constants A, B s.t. the inequalities

$$A \cdot \sum_{i\in I} |c_i|^2 \le \left\| \sum_{i\in I} c_i\varphi_i \right\|^2 \le B \cdot \sum_{i\in I} |c_i|^2\ ,$$

The research is supported in part by the Israel Science Foundation

hold for every finite sequence of scalars $\{c_i\}_{i\in I}$.

Given $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ we denote

$$E(\Lambda) := \left\{e^{i\lambda t}\right\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda} .$$

The following result is classical (see [9], p.203, Lemma 6.5):

If $\Lambda = \{\lambda_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is lacunary in the sense of Hadamard, i.e. satisfies

$$\frac{\lambda_{n+1}}{\lambda_n} \geq q > 1 \ , \ n \in \mathbb{N}$$

then $E(\Lambda)$ forms a RS in $L^2(S)$, for every $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$.

The following generalization is due to I.M. Miheev ([7], Thm. 7):

If $E(\Lambda)$ is an S_p − system for some $p > 2$, i.e. satisfies

$$\left\|\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} a_\lambda e^{i\lambda t}\right\|_{L^p(\mathbb{T})} \leq C \cdot \left\|\sum_{\lambda\in\Lambda} a_\lambda e^{i\lambda t}\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} ,$$

with some $C > 0$, for every finite sequence of scalars $\{a_\lambda\}_{\lambda\in\Lambda}$, then it forms a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$, for every $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$.

J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri proved the following result as a consequence of their "restricted invertibility theorem" ([2], Thm. 2.2):

Given $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$, there is a RS $E(\Lambda)$ s.t. Λ is a set of integers with positive asymptotic density

$$dens\,\Lambda := \lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{\#\{\Lambda \cap [-N, N]\}}{2N} > C \cdot |\mathcal{S}| .$$

(Here and below C denotes positive absolute constants, which might be different from one another).

W. Lawton ([5], Cor. 2.1), *assuming the Feichtinger conjecture for exponentials*, proved the following proposition:

(L) For every $\mathcal{S}$ there is a RS $E(\Lambda)$ s.t. the set of frequencies $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ is syndetic, that is $\Lambda + \{0, \ldots, n-1\} = \mathbb{Z}$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Recall that the Feichtinger conjecture says that every bounded frame in a Hilbert space can be decomposed in a finite family of RS. This claim turned out to be equivalent to the Kadison-Singer conjecture (see [4]). The last conjecture has been proved recently by A. Marcus, D. Spielman and N. Srivastava (see [6]), so proposition (L) holds unconditionally.

Notice that in some results above the system $E(\Lambda)$ serves as RS for all sets $\mathcal{S}$; however the set of frequencies Λ is quite sparse there. In others Λ is rather dense but it works for $\mathcal{S}$ given in advance.

It was asked in [8] whether one can somehow combine the density and "universality" properties. It turned out this is indeed possible. An exponential system has been constructed in that paper which forms a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$ for any open set $\mathcal{S}$ of a given measure, and the set of frequencies has optimal density, proportional to $|\mathcal{S}|$. This is not true for nowhere dense sets (see [8]).

2. Results

In this paper we consider sets of frequencies Λ which contain arbitrary long arithmetic progressions. Below we denote the length of a progression by N, by ℓ we denote its step. Given Λ which contains arbitrary long arithmetic progressions there exists a set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of positive measure so that $E(\Lambda)$ is not a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$ (see [7]).

In the case ℓ grows slowly with respect to N, one can define $\mathcal{S}$ independent of Λ.

A quantitative version of such a result was proved in [3]:

There exists a set $\mathcal{S}$ such that $E(\Lambda)$ is not a RS in $L^2(S)$ whenever Λ contains arithmetic progression of arbitrary large length N, and $\ell = o\left(N^{1/2} log^{-3} N\right)$.

The proof is based on some estimates of the discrepancy of sequences of the form $\{\alpha k\}_{k\in\mathbb{N}}$ on the circle.

Using a different approach we prove a stronger result:

Theorem 1. *There exists a set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ such that $E(\Lambda)$ is not a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$ whenever Λ contains arbitrary long arithmetic progressions with $\ell = O(N^\alpha)$ for some $\alpha < 1$.*

Here one can construct $\mathcal{S}$ not depending on α and with arbitrary small measure of the complement.

The next theorem shows that the result is sharp.

Theorem 2. *Given a set $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of positive measure there is a set of frequencies $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

(i) Λ contains arbitrary long arithmetic progressions with $\ell = O(N)$.

(ii) The system of exponentials $E(\Lambda)$ forms a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$.

Increasing slightly the bound for ℓ, one can get a version of Theorem 2 which admits a progression of any length:

Theorem 3. *Given $\mathcal{S}$ one can find Λ with the property (ii) above and s.t.*

(i') For every $\alpha > 1$ and for every N it contains an arithmetic progression of length N and step $\ell < C(\alpha) \cdot N^{\alpha}$.

3. Proof of theorem 1

Proof. Fix $\varepsilon > 0$. Take a decreasing sequence of positive numbers $\{\delta(\ell)\}_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}}$ s.t.

$$(a) \qquad \sum_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} \delta(\ell) < \tfrac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

$$(b) \quad \delta(\ell) \cdot \ell^{1/\alpha} \underset{\ell \to \infty}{\longrightarrow} \infty \quad \forall \alpha \in (0,1)$$

For every $\ell \in \mathbb{N}$ set $I_\ell = (-\delta(\ell), \delta(\ell))$ and let $\tilde{I}_\ell$ be the 2π-periodic extension of I_ℓ, i.e.

$$\tilde{I}_\ell = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} (I_\ell + 2\pi k) \ .$$

We define

$$I_{[\ell]} = \left(\frac{1}{\ell} \cdot \tilde{I}_\ell\right) \cap [-\pi, \pi] \text{ and } \mathcal{S} = \mathbb{T} \backslash \bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} I_{[\ell]} = \left(\bigcup_{\ell \in \mathbb{N}} I_{[\ell]}\right)^c , \tag{1}$$

whence we get that

$$|\mathcal{S}| \geq 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} \left|I_{[\ell]}\right| = 1 - \sum_{\ell=1}^{\infty} 2\delta(\ell) > 1 - \varepsilon\,.$$

Fix $\alpha < 1$ and let $\Lambda \subset \mathbb{Z}$ be such that one can find arbitrary large $N \in \mathbb{N}$ for which

$$\{M + \ell, \ldots, M + N \cdot \ell\} \subset \Lambda\,,$$

with some $M = M(N) \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\ell = \ell(N) \in \mathbb{N}$ and

$$\ell < C(\alpha) \cdot N^{\alpha}\,. \tag{2}$$

Recall that by (1) we have $t \in I_{[\ell]}$ if and only if $t \cdot \ell \in \tilde{I}_{\ell} \cap [-\pi\ell, \pi\ell]$. Since $\mathcal{S}$ lies inside the complement of $I_{[\ell]}$ we get

$$\int\limits_{\mathcal{S}} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{N} c(k)\, e^{i(M+k\ell)t}\right|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \int\limits_{I_{[\ell]}^c} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{N} c(k)\, e^{i(M+k\ell)t}\right|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} =$$

$$= \int\limits_{[-\pi\ell,\pi\ell]\setminus\tilde{I}_{\ell}} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{N} c(k)\, e^{ik\tau}\right|^2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi\ell} = \int\limits_{I_{\ell}^c} \left|\sum_{k=1}^{N} c(k)\, e^{ik\tau}\right|^2 \frac{d\tau}{2\pi}\,.$$

Therefore, in order to complete the proof, it is enough to show that $\left\|\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} c(k)\, e^{ik\tau}\right\|_{L^2(I_{\ell}^c)}$ can be made arbitrary small while keeping $\sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} |c(k)|^2$ bounded away from zero. This observation allows us to reformulate the problem as a norm concentration problem of trigonometric polynomials of degree N on the interval I_{ℓ}.

Let $P_N(t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{N}} \cdot \sum\limits_{k=1}^{N} e^{int}$, so $\|P_N\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = 1$. Moreover, for every $t \in \mathbb{T}$ we have $|P_N(t)| \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{N} \cdot \sin\frac{t}{2}}$, hence

$$\int\limits_{I_{\ell}^c} |P_N(t)|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \leq \frac{C}{N} \int\limits_{\delta(\ell)}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{\sin^2\frac{t}{2}} < \frac{C}{N} \int\limits_{\delta(\ell)}^{\pi} \frac{dt}{t^2} < \frac{C}{\delta(\ell)\, N} < \frac{C(\alpha)}{\delta(\ell)\, \ell^{1/\alpha}}\,,$$

where last inequality holds for every N for which (2) holds. Using condition (b) we see that indeed last term can be made arbitrary small, and so $E(\Lambda)$ is not a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$.

□

4. Proof of theorem 2

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ we define

$$B_n := \left\{n,\, 2n, \ldots, n^2\right\} .$$

Lemma 4. *Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the set of all prime numbers. Then the blocks $\{B_p\}_{p\in\mathcal{P}}$ are pairwise disjoint.*

Proof. Let $p < q$ be prime numbers. Notice that a number $a \in B_p \cap B_q$ if and only if there exist $1 \le m \le p$ and $1 \le k \le q$ s.t.

$$a = m \cdot p = k \cdot q\,,$$

which is possible only if q divides m. But since $m < q$ this cannot happen and so such a does not exist. □

Lemma 5. *Let $\{a(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of non-negative numbers s.t. $\sum\limits_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \le 1$. Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.*

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a(\ell \cdot n) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}\,.$$

Proof. By Lemma 4 we may write

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \ge \sum_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p} a(\ell \cdot p)\ .$$

Assuming the contrary for some ε, i.e. for all but finitely many $p \in \mathcal{P}$ we have $\sum\limits_{\ell=1}^{p} a(\ell \cdot p) \ge \frac{\varepsilon}{p}$, we get a contradiction to the well-known fact that $\sum\limits_{p\in\mathcal{P}} \frac{1}{p} = \infty$. □

Corollary 6. *For every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t.*

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu\in B_n \\ \mu<\lambda}} a(\lambda-\mu) < \varepsilon. \tag{3}$$

Proof. Every $\mu < \lambda$ from B_n must take the form

$$\begin{matrix} \lambda = k\cdot n \\ \mu = k'\cdot n \end{matrix}, \ 1 \le k' < k \le n,$$

hence $\lambda - \mu = \ell \cdot n$, $\ell \in \{1, 2, \dots N-1\}$. From Lemma 5 we get for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu\in B_n \\ \mu<\lambda}} a(\lambda-\mu) = \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} (n-\ell)\cdot a(\ell\cdot n) \le n\cdot \sum_{\ell=1}^{n} a(\ell\cdot n) < \varepsilon$$

□

Let $B \subset \mathbb{R}$, we say that a positive number γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$) for a sequence $E(B)$ if the inequality

$$\left\| \sum_{\lambda\in B} c(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \right\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} \ge \gamma \cdot \sum_{\lambda\in B} |c(\lambda)|^2,$$

holds for every finite sequence of scalars $\{c(\lambda)\}_{\lambda\in B}$.

Lemma 7. *Given $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ of positive measure, there exist a constant $\gamma = \gamma(\mathcal{S}) > 0$ for which the following holds: For infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$) for $E(B_n)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$, with $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$. Apply Corollary 5 to the sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \left\{ \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(n) \right|^2 \right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ (where $\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}$ is the indicator function of the set $\mathcal{S}$), we get for every $\varepsilon > 0$ infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$ for which (3) holds. We write

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left| \sum_{\lambda\in B_n} c(\lambda) e^{i\lambda t} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \int_{\mathcal{S}} \left(\sum_{\lambda\in B_n} |c(\lambda)|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu\in B_n \\ \lambda\neq\mu}} c(\lambda)\overline{c(\mu)} e^{i(\lambda-\mu)t} \right) \frac{dt}{2\pi} =$$

$$= |\mathcal{S}| \cdot \sum_{\lambda \in B_n} |c(\lambda)|^2 + \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} c(\lambda)\, \overline{c(\mu)}\, \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \ .$$

By Cauchy-Schwarz inequality, the last term does not exceed

$$\left| \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} c(\lambda)\, \overline{c(\mu)}\, \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \right| \leq \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} \left| c(\lambda)\, \overline{c(\mu)} \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} =$$

$$= \sum_{\lambda \in B_n} |c(\lambda)|^2 \cdot \left(\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \right|^2 \right)^{1/2} .$$

By (3) we get

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \lambda \neq \mu}} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \right|^2 = 2 \sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu \in B_n \\ \mu < \lambda}} \left| \widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(\mu - \lambda) \right|^2 < 2\varepsilon \,,$$

hence

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left| \sum_{\lambda \in B_n} c(\lambda)\, e^{i\lambda t} \right|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} \geq (|\mathcal{S}| - 2\varepsilon) \sum_{\lambda \in B_n} |c(\lambda)|^2 \geq \frac{|\mathcal{S}|}{2} \sum_{\lambda \in B_n} |c(\lambda)|^2 \ .$$

Fixing some $\varepsilon < \frac{|\mathcal{S}|}{4}$, we get the last inequality holds for infinitely many $n \in \mathbb{N}$. □

The next lemma shows how to combine blocks which correspond to different progressions.

Lemma 8. *Let $\gamma > 0$, $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$ with $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$, and $B_1, B_2 \subset \mathbb{N}$ finite subsets s.t γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$) for $E(B_j)$, $j = 1, 2$. Then for any $0 < \gamma' < \gamma$ there exists $M \in \mathbb{Z}$ s.t. the system $E(B_1 \cup (M + B_2))$ has γ' as a lower Riesz bound.*

Proof. Denote $P_j(t) = \sum_{\lambda \in B_j} c(\lambda)\, e^{i\lambda t}$, $j = 1, 2$. Notice that for sufficiently large $M = M(\mathcal{S})$, the polynomials P_1 and $e^{iMt} \cdot P_2$ are "almost orthogonal" on $\mathcal{S}$, meaning

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left| P_1(t) + e^{iMt} \cdot P_2(t) \right|^2 \frac{dt}{2\pi} = \|P_1\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} + \|P_2\|^2_{L^2(\mathcal{S})} + o(1) \ ,$$

where the last term is uniform w.r. to all polynomials having $\|P\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T})} = 1$. □

Now we are ready to finish the proof of Theorem 2.

Given $\mathcal{S}$ take γ from Lemma 6 and denote by $\mathcal{N}$ the set of all natural numbers n for which γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$) for $E(B_n)$. Define

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{n\in\mathcal{N}} (M_n + B_n) .$$

Due to Lemma 7 we can define subsequently for every $n \in \mathcal{N}$, an integer M_n s.t. for any partial union

$$\Lambda(N) = \bigcup_{\substack{n\in\mathcal{N}\\ n<N}} (M_n + B_n) \ , \ N \in \mathcal{N}$$

the corresponding exponential system $E(\Lambda(N))$ has lower Riesz bound $\frac{\gamma}{2}\cdot\left(1+\frac{1}{N}\right)$, so we get that $E(\Lambda)$ is a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$.

5. Proof of theorem 3

In order to obtain Λ which satisfies property *(i')* we will require the following result.

Theorem A. *([1], Thm. 13.12) Let $d(n)$ denote the number of divisors of an integer n. Then $d(n) = o(n^{\varepsilon})$ for every $\varepsilon > 0$.*

The next lemma demonstrates how the contribution of blocks can be controlled even when they are not disjoint.

Lemma 9. *Let $\{a(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ a sequence of non-negative numbers s.t. $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a(n) \le 1$. Then for every $\alpha > 1$ there exist $\varepsilon(\alpha) > 0$ and $\nu(\alpha) \in \mathbb{N}$ s.t. for every $N \ge \nu(\alpha)$ one can find and integer $\ell_{\alpha,N} < N^{\alpha}$ satisfying*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} a(n\cdot\ell_{\alpha,N}) < \frac{1}{N^{1+\varepsilon(\alpha)}} . \tag{4}$$

Proof. Fix $\alpha > 1$ and apply Theorem A with ε small enough, depending on α, to be chosen later. We get the inequality $d(k) < k^{\varepsilon}$ holds for every $k \ge \nu(\alpha)$. Fix $N \ge \nu(\alpha)$,

and notice that for every $L \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\sum_{\ell=1}^{L}\sum_{n=1}^{N} a\left(n\cdot\ell\right) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{L\cdot N} d\left(k\right)\cdot a\left(k\right) < \left(L\cdot N\right)^{\varepsilon}\ .$$

It follows that there exists an integer $0<\ell<L$ s.t.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{N} a\left(n\cdot\ell\right) < \frac{\left(L\cdot N\right)^{\varepsilon}}{L} = \frac{N^{\varepsilon}}{L^{1-\varepsilon}}\,.$$

In order to get (4) we ask

$$\frac{N^{\varepsilon}}{L^{1-\varepsilon}} < \frac{1}{N^{1+\varepsilon}}\,,$$

which yields

$$N^{\frac{1+2\varepsilon}{1-\varepsilon}} < L\,,$$

Therefore, choosing $\varepsilon=\varepsilon\left(\alpha\right)$ sufficiently small we see that L may be chosen to be smaller than N^{α}. □

Setting

$$B_{\alpha,N} := \left\{\ell_{\alpha,N},\, 2\ell_{\alpha,N},\ldots,N\cdot\ell_{\alpha,N}\right\}\ ,$$

we get

Corollary 10. *For every $\alpha>1$ and $N\geq\nu\left(\alpha\right)$*

$$\sum_{\substack{\lambda,\mu\in B_{\alpha,N}\\ \mu<\lambda}} a\left(\lambda-\mu\right) < \frac{1}{N^{\varepsilon(\alpha)}}\,. \tag{5}$$

The proof is identical to that of Corollary 6.

We combine our estimates.

Lemma 11. *Given $\mathcal{S}\subset\mathbb{T}$ of positive measure, there exist a constant $\gamma=\gamma\left(\mathcal{S}\right)>0$ s.t. for every $\alpha>1$ there exists $N\left(\alpha\right)\in\mathbb{N}$ for which the following holds: For every integer $N\geq N\left(\alpha\right)$ one can find $\ell_{\alpha,N}\in\mathbb{N}$ satisfying $\ell_{\alpha,N}<N^{\alpha}$ and γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^{2}\left(\mathcal{S}\right)$) for $E\left(B_{\alpha,N}\right)$.*

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \mathbb{T}$, with $|\mathcal{S}| > 0$. We fix $\alpha > 1$ and apply corollary 10 to the sequence $\{a(n)\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}} := \left\{\left|\widehat{\mathbb{1}_{\mathcal{S}}}(n)\right|^2\right\}_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$, we get $\varepsilon(\alpha)$ and for every $N \geq \nu(\alpha)$ a positive integer $\ell_{\alpha,N} < N^\alpha$ satisfying (5). Proceeding as in the proof of Lemma 7 we get

$$\int_{\mathcal{S}} \left| \sum_{\lambda\in B_{\alpha,N}} c(\lambda)\, e^{i\lambda t} \right|^2 dt \geq \left(|\mathcal{S}| - \frac{C}{N^{\varepsilon(\alpha)/2}} \right) \sum_{\lambda\in B_{\alpha,N}} |c(\lambda)|^2 \geq \frac{|\mathcal{S}|}{2} \sum_{\lambda\in B_{\alpha,N}} |c(\lambda)|^2 ,$$

where last inequality holds for all $N \geq N(\alpha)$. □

For the last step of the proof we will use a diagonal process.

Given $\mathcal{S}$ find γ using Lemma 11. This provides, for every $\alpha > 1$ and every $N \geq N(\alpha)$, a block $B_{\alpha,N}$ s.t. γ is a lower Riesz bound (in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$) for $E(B_{\alpha,N})$. Let $\alpha_k \to 1$ be a decreasing sequence. Define

$$\Lambda = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \bigcup_{N=N(\alpha_k)}^{N(\alpha_{k+1})-1} (M_N + B_{\alpha_k,N}) .$$

Again, by Lemma 7, we can make sure any partial union has lower Riesz bound not smaller than $\frac{\gamma}{2}$, and so $E(\Lambda)$ is a RS in $L^2(\mathcal{S})$.

It follows directly from the construction that for every $N \in \mathbb{N}$ Λ contains an arithmetic progression of length N and step $\ell < C(\alpha) \cdot N^\alpha$, for any $\alpha > 1$, as required.

References

[1] T.M. Apostol. Introduction to analytic number theory. Springer, 1976.

[2] J. Bourgain and L. Tzafriri. Invertibility of "large" submatrices with applications to the geometry of Banach spaces and harmonic analysis. *Israel J. Math.*, 57(2):137–224, 1987.

[3] M. Bownik and D. Speegle. The Feichtinger conjecture for wavelet frames, Gabor frames and frames of translates. *Canad. J. Math.*, 58(6):1121–1143, 2006.

[4] P.G. Casazza, M. Fickus, J.C. Tremain and E. Weber. The Kadison-Singer problem in mathematics and engineering: a detailed account. In *Operator theory, operator algebras, and applications*, volume 414 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 299–355. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2006.

[5] W. Lawton. Minimal sequences and the Kadison-Singer problem. *Bulletin of the Malaysian Mathematical Sciences Society, http://math. usm. my/bulletin,(2)*, 33(2):169–176, 2010.

[6] A. Marcus, D.A. Spielman and N. Srivastava. Interlacing families ii: Mixed characteristic polynomials and the Kadison-Singer problem. *arXiv preprint arXiv:1306.3969*, 2013.

[7] I.M. Miheev. On lacunary series. *Mathematics of the USSR-Sbornik*, 27(4):481, 1975.

[8] A. Olevskiĭ and A. Ulanovskii. Universal sampling and interpolation of band-limited signals. *Geom. Funct. Anal.*, 18(3):1029–1052, 2008.

[9] A. Zygmund. Trigonometric series. 2nd ed. Vol. I. Cambridge University Press, New York, 1959.

School of Mathematical Sciences, Tel-Aviv University, Tel-Aviv 69978, Israel.

E-mail address: itaylond@post.tau.ac.il

E-mail address: olevskii@post.tau.ac.il